UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

OBJECTION TO JUDGMENT CREDITORS' EXPEDITED MOTION FOR (I) A DETERMINATION THAT THE AUTOMATIC STAY DOES NTO APPLY TO STATE COURT CLAIMS AGAINST ALI CHOUDHRI INDIVIDUALLY OR, ALTERNATIVELY, (II) RELIEF FROM THE AUTOMATIC STAY

TO THE HONORABLE SHAD M. ROBINSON, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

Comes now Texas REIT, LLC (the "Debtor") in this chapter 11 case, filing this Objection to Judgment Creditors' Expedited Motion for (I) A Determination that the Automatic Stay Does Not apply to State Court Claim Against Ali Choudhri Individually or, Alternatively (II) Relief from the Automatic Stay and would show as follows:

- 1. Debtor does not have sufficient time to evaluate the Motion and requests that the Court delay consideration of the Motion.
- 2. Any claims to pierce the corporate veil or assert alter ego are property of the bankruptcy estate.
- 3. Movants have taken inconsistent positions with respect to whether the stay applies. In one of the State Court actions which Movants seek to continue, Movants filed a Suggestion of Bankruptcy with the Texas Supreme Court to delay consideration of the matter. A copy of the Suggestion is attached hereto.
- 4. Movant Osama Abdulatif has a presently pending adversary proceeding seeking to pierce the corporate veil of Debtor in Adv. No. 23-3141 pending in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court

for the Southern District of Texas. Judge Norman severed out the claims against Texas

REIT, LLC. However, Movant's counsel inexplicably told Judge Marvin Isgur that this

Court had previously lifted the automatic stay to allow them to proceed. See attached

transcript, p. 29, l. 6.. While Movant Abdulatif has offered to withdraw his proof of claim

with prejudice, he has not offered to dismiss the pending adversary proceeding claims.

5. Additionally, when Judge Isgur considered the issue, he stated that if the George Lee

adversary proceeding pending at Adv. No. 24-1039 were to succeed, then the stay would

apply. See Transcript, p. 30, 1.6. This Court has a pending motion to dismiss the

adversary proceeding under advisement.

6. Movants have made alter ego claims against Mr. Choudhri and his related entities in

multiple proceedings over the years. Before the Court lifts the automatic stay, the

Movants should disclose any other pending actions in which they are asserting alter ego

allegations.

7. The Court should set this matter for an evidentiary hearing with sufficient time for the

parties to prepare.

Respectfully Submitted,

BARRON & NEWBURGER, P.C.

7320 N. MoPac Expwy., Suite 400

Austin, Texas 78731

(512) 649-3243

(512) 476-9253 Facsimile

By: /s/ Stephen W. Sather

Stephen W. Sather (SBN. 17657520)

ATTORNEY FOR DEBTOR

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the f	oregoing Objection was	served by first class	ss mail, postage
prepaid and properly addressed, on	December 18, 2024, to	o all parties listed	on the attached
Service List.			

/s/Stephen W. Sather

24-10120-smr Doc#429 Filed 12/18/24 Entered 12/18/24 16:55:55 Main Document Pg 4 of Label Matrix for local noticing Texas REIT, LLC 540542-1 Case 24-10120-smr Western District of Texas Austin Fri Dec 6 14:54:45 CST 2024

54 2450 Wickersham Lane, Suite 202 Austin, TX 78741-4744

903 SAN JACINTO, SUITE 322 AUSTIN, TX 78701-2450

6161 Savoy Drive Suite 500 Houston, TX 77036-3326

Affordable Dumpster Rentals 6520 US Hwy 301 S Suite 112 Riverview, FL 33578-4324

Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP 1111 Louisiana Street, 44th Floor Houston, TX 77002-5225

Ali Choudhri 2425 West Loop St 11 FL Houston TX 77027

Amina Properties, L.L.C. c/o T. Josh Judd Andrews Myers, P.C. 1885 Saint James Place, 15th Floor Houston, Texas 77056-4175

Caz Creek Holdings 2, LLC c/o Howard Marc Spector 12770 Coit Rd, St 850 Dallas, TX 75251

Caz Creek Holdings 2, LLC as Custodian for CCH TX2, LLC 14800 Landmark Blvd., Suite 400 Dallas, TX 75254-7598

Caz Creek Lending 118 Vintage Park Blvd No. W Houston, TX 77070-4095

City of Houston c/o Tara L. Grundemeier Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson LLP PO Box 3064 Houston, TX 77253-3064

Clark Hill PC 500 Woodward Ave Suite 3500 Detroit, MI 48226-3485

Competition Roofing, Inc. 7310 Fairview Street Houston, TX 77041-2106

Cypress BridgeCo, LLC and Magnolia BridgeCo c/o McDowell Hetherington LLP ATTN: Robert P. Debelak III 1001 Fannin St., Ste. 2400 Houston, TX 77002-6706

Cypress BridgeCo, LLC and Magnolia BridgeCo, c/o McDowell Hetherington LLP ATTN: Matthew W. Bourda 1001 Fannin St., Ste. 2400 Houston, TX 77002-6706

Dalio Holdings I, LLC 2425 West Loop South Suite 77027-4210 Dalio Holdings I, LLC c/o Willian Choslovsky 300 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 2750 Chicago, IL 60606-6782

Dalio Holdings II, LLC c/o Willian Choslovsky 300 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 2750 Chicago, IL 60606-6782

Drew Dennett 2450 Wickersham Lane, Suite 202 Austin, TX 78741-4744

FGMS Holdings, LLC 12000 Network Blvd., Bldg. B Suite 210 San Antonio, TX 78249-3353

(p) HARRIS COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE P O BOX 2848 HOUSTON TX 77252-2848

Houston Community College System c/o Tara L. Grundemeier Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson LLP PO Box 3064 Houston, TX 77253-3064

Houston ISD P.O.Box 4668 Houston, TX 77210-4668

Houston ISD c/o Tara L. Grundemeier Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson LLP PO Box 3064 Houston, TX 77253-3064

IPFS Corporation 30 Montgomery Street, Suite 501 Jersey City, NJ 07302-3821

IPFS Corporation PO Box 412086 Kansas City, MO 64141-2086

JLM Law, PLLC 1001 West Loop South Ste 700 Houston, TX 77027-9033

Jetall Companies, Inc. 2425 West Loop South Suite 1100 Houston, TX 77027-4210

John Quinlan c/o Steven A. Leyh Hoover Slovacek, LLP 5051 Westheimer, Suite 1200 Houston, Texas 77056-5839

24-10120-smr Doc#429 Filed 12/18/24 Entered 12/18/24 16:55:55 Main Document Pg 5 of John Quinlan, Omar Khawaja, and Osama Abdull Mack Brooks, LLC as agent for c/oSteve Leyh Hoover Slovacek LLP Galleria Tower 2, 5051 Westheimer, Ste 1 Houston, Texas 77056 Houston, TX 77056-5622

Mark C. Taylor, Special Litigation Counsel Holland & Knight LLP 100 Congress Avenue, Suite 1800 Austin, Texas 78701-4042

Omar Khawaja c/o Steven A. Leyh Hoover Slovacek, LLP 5051 Westheimer, Suite 1200 Houston, Texas 77056-5839

US Insurance Funding 8303 SW Freeway Suite 435 Houston, TX 77074-1691

WCW Houston Properties, LLC Attn: Tami Kim Broker Associate/Attorne 6100 Corporate Drive, Suite 319 Houston, TX 77036-3433

WCW Houston Properties, LLC c/o Matthew Kevin Powers Burford Perry 909 Fannin, Suite 2630 Houston, TX 77010-1003

Mark Curtis Taylor Holland & Knight 100 Congress Ave Suite 1800 Austin, TX 78701-4042 attorney in fact for FGMS Holdings, LLC c/o Howard Marc Spector 12770 Coit Rd, St 850 Dallas, TX 75251

Nationwide Security 2425 W Loop S Ste.300 Houston, TX 77027-4207

Osama Abdullatif c/o Steven A. Levh Hoover Slovacek, LLP 5051 Westheimer, Suite 1200 Houston, Texas 77056-5839

United States Trustee - AU12 United States Trustee 903 San Jacinto Blvd, Suite 230 Austin, TX 78701-2450

WCW Houston Properties, LLC Howley Law PLLC c/o Eric Terry 711 Louisiana Street, Ste 1850 Houston, TX 77002-2790

Wrinkle, Gardner & Company, PC PO Box 1707 Friendswood, TX 77549-1707

Stephen W. Sather Barron & Newburger, PC 7320 N MoPac Expy, Suite 400 Austin, TX 78731-2347

FGMS Holdings, LLC 12672 Silicon Drive, Suite 150 San Antonio, TX 78249-3450

Offices of Drew Dennett 2450 Wickersham Ln Suite 202 Austin, TX 78741-4744

Steadfast 829 Holdings, Inc. c/o Rodney Drinnon McCathern 2000 West Loop South Suite 1850 Houston, TX 77027-3744

WCW HOUSTON PROPERTIES, LLC Attn: Judith Chang - CFO 3657 Briarpark Drive, Ste. 188 Houston, TX 77042-5267

WCW Houston Properties, LLC c/o M. Kevin Powers Burford Perry, LLP 909 Fannin, Suite 2630 Houston, Texas 77010-1003

c/o Steven A. Leyh Hoover Slovacek, LLP 5051 Westheimer, Suite 1200 Houston, Texas 77056-5839

The preferred mailing address (p) above has been substituted for the following entity/entities as so specified by said entity/entities in a Notice of Address filed pursuant to 11 U.S.C. 342(f) and Fed.R.Bank.P. 2002 (g) (4).

Harris County Tax Assessor Po Box 4622 Houston, TX 77210-4622

(d) Harris County, et al P.O. Box 2848 Houston, TX 77252

(d) Harris County, et al. P.O. Box 2848 Houston, TX 77252

24-10120-smr Doc#429 Filed 12/18/24 Entered 12/18/24 16:55:55 Main Document Pg 6 of (d) FGMS Holdings, LLC End of Label Matrix 54 Mailable recipients 49

12000 Network Blvd., Bldg. B Suite 210 San Antonio, TX 78249-3353

Mailable recipients 49
Bypassed recipients 1
Total 50

2/9/2024 2:07 PM tex-84352768 SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS BLAKE A. HAWTHORNE, CLERK

NO. 23-1019

In the Supreme Court of Texas

Texas REIT, LLC, Ali Choudhri, Dalio Holdings I, LLC and Dalio Holdings II, LLC, *Petitioners*,

 \mathbf{v} .

Mokaram-Latif West Loop, Ltd. and Ali Mokaram, Respondents.

On Appeal from the Fourteenth Court of Appeals Cause No. 14-23-00694-CV

NOTICE OF BANKRUPTCY

TO THE HONORABLE SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS:

In accordance with Texas Rule of Appellate Procedure 8.1, please take notice that Petitioner Texas REIT, LLC filed a voluntary petition seeking relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of Texas on February 6, 2024. That proceeding is styled *In re Texas REIT*, *LLC*, No. 24-10120.

Respectfully submitted,

Gray Reed & McGraw LLP

/s/ William N. Drabble

William N. Drabble State Bar No. 24074154

1601 Elm Street, Suite 4600

Dallas Texas 75201

Telephone: (214) 954-4135

Facsimile: (214) 953-1335

Email: wdrabble@grayreed.com

D. Scott Funk State Bar No. 07550900 Preston T. Kamin State Bar No. 24062817

1300 Post Oak Boulevard, Suite 2000

Houston, Texas 77056

Telephone: (713) 986-7000 Facsimile: (713) 986-7100 Email: sfunk@grayreed.com Email: pkamin@grayreed.com

Attorneys for Respondent Ali Mokaram

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify serving a true and correct copy of this Notice of Bankruptcy in accordance with Texas Rule of Appellate Procedure 9.5 on the persons listed below on this ninth day of February, 2024.

Andy Taylor Andy Taylor & Associates, P.C. 2628 Highway 36S, #288 Brenham, Texas 77833 ataylor@andytaylorlaw.com

Attorney for Petitioners

Rodney I. Drinnon McCathern, PLLC 2000 West Loop South, Ste. 1850 Houston, Texas 77027 rdrinnon@mccathernlaw.com

Attorneys for Respondent Mokaram-Latif West Loop, Ltd.

/s/ William N. Drabble William N. Drabble

Automated Certificate of eService

This automated certificate of service was created by the efiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the efiling system on the date and to the persons listed below:

Christi Lillie on behalf of William Drabble Bar No. 24074154 clillie@grayreed.com Envelope ID: 84352768

Filing Code Description: Letter/Notice Filing Description: Notice of Bankruptcy Status as of 2/9/2024 2:12 PM CST

Associated Case Party: Texas REIT, LLC

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Andy Taylor		ataylor@andytaylorlaw.com	2/9/2024 2:07:39 PM	SENT

Associated Case Party: Ali Choudhri

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Andy Taylor		ataylor@andytaylorlaw.com	2/9/2024 2:07:39 PM	SENT

Associated Case Party: Dalio Holdings I, LLC

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Andy Taylor		ataylor@andytaylorlaw.com	2/9/2024 2:07:39 PM	SENT

Associated Case Party: Dalio Holdings II, LLC

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Andy Taylor		ataylor@andytaylorlaw.com	2/9/2024 2:07:39 PM	SENT

Associated Case Party: Mokaram-Latif West Loop, Ltd.

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Rodney Lee Drinnon	24047841	rdrinnon@mccathernlaw.com	2/9/2024 2:07:39 PM	SENT

Associated Case Party: Ali Mokaram

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
William NDrabble		wdrabble@grayreed.com	2/9/2024 2:07:39 PM	SENT

Automated Certificate of eService

This automated certificate of service was created by the efiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the efiling system on the date and to the persons listed below:

Christi Lillie on behalf of William Drabble Bar No. 24074154 clillie@grayreed.com Envelope ID: 84352768

Filing Code Description: Letter/Notice Filing Description: Notice of Bankruptcy Status as of 2/9/2024 2:12 PM CST

Associated Case Party: Ali Mokaram

William NDrabble	wdrabble@grayreed.com	2/9/2024 2:07:39 PM	SENT
D. ScottFunk	sfunk@grayreed.com	2/9/2024 2:07:39 PM	SENT
Preston T.Kamin	pkamin@grayreed.com	2/9/2024 2:07:39 PM	SENT
Leigh Malinowski	Imalinowski@grayreed.com	2/9/2024 2:07:39 PM	SENT
Jackie Kish	jkish@grayreed.com	2/9/2024 2:07:39 PM	SENT
Christi Lillie	clillie@grayreed.com	2/9/2024 2:07:39 PM	SENT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

HOUSTON DIVISION

MOKARAM-LATIF WEST LOOP LTD. . CASE NO. 4:24-CV-3224

PLAINTIFF,

HOUSTON, TEXAS

V. . WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 13, 2024

03:08 P.M. TO 03:58 P.M.

ALI CHOUDHRI,

DEFENDANT. .

.

MOTION HEARING

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARVIN ISGUR UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

APPEARANCES: SEE NEXT PAGE

ELECTRONIC RECORDING OFFICER: NOT IDENTIFIED

CASE MANAGER: LINH THU DO

OFFICIAL INTERPETER: NONE PRESENT

TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE BY:

TRINITY TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES
1081 Main Street
Surgoinsville, TN 37873
281-782-0802

battshott@aol.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; Transcript produced by transcription service.

TRINITY TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

HOUSTON DIVISION

MOKARAM-LATIF WEST LOOP LTD. . CASE NO. 4:24-CV-3224

. CASE NO. 4:24-CV-3225

PLAINTIFF,

HOUSTON, TEXAS

V. WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 13, 2024

03:08 P.M. TO 03:58 P.M.

ALI CHOUDHRI,

DEFENDANT.

. **.** .

MOTION HEARING

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARVIN ISGUR UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

Appearances:

For the PLAINTIFF: MOKARAM-LATIF

Pro Se

For the DEFENDANT: D. SCOTT FUNK, ESQ.

Gray Reed

1300 Post Oak Boulevard, Ste 2000

Houston, TX 77056

For the DEFENDANT: LONDON ENGLAND, ESQ.

Gray Reed

1601 Elm Street, Suite 4000

Dallas, TX 75201

For OSAMA ABDUL LATIF: T. MICHAEL BALLASES, ESQ.

HooverSlovacek LLP Galleria Tower II

5051 Westheimer, Suite 1200

Houston, TX 77056

Transcription Service: Cheryl L. Battaglia

Trinity Transcription Services

1081 Main Street

Surgoinsville, TN 37873

281-782-0802

I know he had a medical appointment for a post-

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

through experts what his assets are.

A great deal of them are missing. And we can present that to you. There's been sale proceeds in the last few years of almost \$40 million that can't be accounted for. We can present to you net worth statements that he has given to lenders in the hundreds of millions of dollars, positive net worth where he had a claim today, no explanation of where the assets went or why there's a penalty.

We can show you bank statements where he his mother share bank statements where millions of dollars are transferred and floated.

We have, because of the procedural status of the State Court case, as you see from the papers, these are both removals, post-judgment, actually on the morning of the hearing where we're asking Judge Wilson to appoint a receiver for collection with a net worth, negative net worth, affidavits were filed.

We have — and at that point, under State law, the collection efforts get suspended. So our view towards his current assets, transfer of assets, the status of those assets is somewhat blocked. We have some documents we can present to your Honor showing these millions of dollars in missing assets that haven't been reported in State Court. And we'd just ask your Honor to consider, well, let me back up, Judge, if you will indulge me just a little. Because this is fairly complicated long history.

2.3

But, I don't want to go into too much detail with you. But I can show you and present to you evidence showing you the extraordinary bad faith in which these net worth affidavits were filed, including these net worth affidavits and missing documents and the like, and how that suspends the State Court efforts.

I can show you that pattern of the delay of this hearing that's supposed to be heard promptly in State Court.

We're 11 months forward after January still trying to get it heard with another month of removal.

And I would ask your Honor to consider why. Why would a man do that? He's trying to prevent collection. And this history of transferring assets, stealing assets, the underlying judgment in my client's case is a fraud judgment from stealing assets from the commonly-owned company.

With this pattern and this history, and these lies to the Court, with these assets have been transferred into monies, I ask why are these constant delays? What else is happening?

Your Honor, in the Bankruptcy Court in the Western District of Texas for Texas REIT, that's one of the companies that Mr. Choudhri owns part of. It's the company that my client owns a part of that was the underlying cause of this litigation.

There's two major properties that are subject to that bankruptcy, at what used to be a Walgreens in a strip center on

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Again, that money could be transferred, lost, and

already tried to remove it to Federal Court and had it

25

1 remanded.

And I think three, the — the — the reason he's delaying it, is because, again, he wants to engage in more fraudulent transfers incurring collection of judgments.

And so, you know, I — I think that is the basis for the emergency. And I think, you know, ultimately what we'd request is that the Court just remands it back down to Judge Wilson and let Judge Wilson handle this business with Mr. Choudhri.

10 Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

12 MR. FUNK: Your Honor, can I add one more thing,

13 please?

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

(Pause in the proceeding.)

MR. FUNK: The delay tactics were so extreme in State Court, Judge Wilson entered a detailed order about if and when we wanted a another continuance, he had to do it. And he violated that order, yet again. And the Judge sanctioned him. And he sanctioned him monetary sanctions, \$11,000 had to be paid. They were paid.

That hasn't deterred him from filing yet another wrongful removal notice in this case. And — and I suggest here, your Honor, what he wants is time, the monetary sanctions, the other part in it, what he needs and wants his

```
1
    time.
 2
               THE COURT:
                          I'm not here on the —
                          And if your Honor doesn't address this —
 3
              MR. FUNK:
               THE COURT: I'm not here on the sanctions. I'm not
 4
 5
    here on sanctions.
                          Well —
 6
              MR. FUNK:
 7
               THE COURT: I'm here on whether to remand the case.
                          I \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow I understand. But what I'm
 8
 9
    getting to is, if — if he's allowed to engage in these delay
10
    tactics and have that time, he's going to continue doing this.
               If you — if you don't address it on an emergency
11
12
    basis, he gets what he wants. And it rewards the bad conduct,
13
    the bad faith removals, the time that he wants and needs versus
14
    all the other things that are out there.
               So I'd just pleaded to your Honor to consider that in
15
16
    the big picture that it's just not appropriate to allow someone
17
    to keep doing this. And he has no good faith basis whatsoever
18
    for removal.
19
               THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
20
              Mr. Choudhri, where's the net worth information that
21
    we required to be produced today?
22
              MR. CHOUDHRI: Well, your Honor, the net worth —
23
    may — may I respond to?
24
               THE COURT: I want to know where - I required at
    this hearing that you prove the validity of your net worth
```

10 1 affidavit, right? So where is that? 2 MR. CHOUDHRI: I have the thousands of pages of back-3 up in documents, your Honor, about my net worth. And I filed an affidavit to supersede the judgment. And your Honor has -4 5 THE COURT: And I ask where's the back-up? Do you 6 have the back-up here? 7 MR. CHOUDHRI: I have it in my computer that I 8 have — 9 THE COURT: And what — what — well, I required it 10 to be produced here. If it's in your computer, I'm not sure 11 what that means. 12 What back-up do you have to back-up your net worth 13 affidavit? 14 MR. CHOUDHRI: Your Honor, I have a lot of documents. 15 I can put Mr. Osama Abdul's here on the stand. If I may just 16 respond to the — their — their comments, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: You may in a minute. 18 Right now I want to know where — whether you 19 complied with the order. 20 MR. CHOUDHRI: I filed a net worth underwrote 21 affidavit on file, your Honor, in the State Court case. 22 THE COURT: I know. And I required you, as part of 23 my order, to bring the information to prove its validity. I

> MR. CHOUDHRI: I'm sorry, your Honor. I was not

required you to bring that today.

24

25

```
1
    aware of that. But I can provide that to your Honor if your
 2
    Honor would allow me to —
              THE COURT: So here's the order.
 3
 4
         (Pause in the proceeding.)
 5
              THE COURT: "As part of the determination
 6
              as to whether an emergency exists, Choudhri
 7
              must prove the validity of his net worth
 8
              affidavit referenced in the July 10th order
 9
              issued by the State District Court."
10
              It was clear in my order. It's part of the emergency
11
    consideration issue.
12
              MR. CHOUDHRI: The order has been filed online, your
13
    Honor.
           I cannot —
14
              THE COURT: It's not the order, it is the back-up to
15
    prove it's valid.
16
              MR. CHOUDHRI: The — the net worth order. So, your
17
    Honor, if I may respond, please.
18
                         I want to know whether you have the
              THE COURT:
19
    information today, the evidence today, to prove the validity of
20
    your July 10th affidavit.
21
              MR. CHOUDHRI: Yes, your Honor. I — I —
              THE COURT:
22
                         Okay.
23
              MR. CHOUDHRI: I can prove that validity. And I can
24
    put on the evidence to — to demonstrate my net worth. And —
25
    and I'm not —
```

```
1
              THE COURT:
                          Okav.
 2
              MR. CHOUDHRI: Your — your Honor, if I may just
 3
    respond.
              So, your Honor, Osama Abdullatif recruited, sponsored
 4
 5
    litigation with my ex-wife. And filed this divorce action in
    2015, and sued multiple people. George Lee (phonetic), my mom,
 6
 7
    (indiscernible) Serena (phonetic) Yu (phonetic), many, many
 8
    individuals, 32 Defendants, and filed several dozen les
 9
    pendenses.
10
              Four years later, we went to trial. And the Court
    found that I was divorced as of 2012. It was void ab initio.
11
12
    All the les pendenses, the fraudulent liens were voided.
13
    was sponsored litigation.
14
              I have all the evidence with me, your Honor. Number
15
    two, your Honor —
16
              THE COURT: I thought there was a final judgment
17
    against you.
                  Is that incorrect?
18
              MR. CHOUDHRI: There is a final judgment that is
19
    superseded.
20
              THE COURT: It is superseded what? By your net worth
21
    affidavit.
22
              MR. CHOUDHRI: It is superseded by my net worth
23
    affidavit.
24
              THE COURT: Yeah. But there was a final judgment.
    And that is what
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CHOUDHRI: And, your Honor, there's a lot — THE COURT: The underlying information that led to the final judgment is pretty irrelevant. I'm not an appellate There's a final judgment against you. You've attempted to supersede it with a net worth affidavit. I required you to bring the net worth affidavit information here. But why am I — why do I care about the background of a judgment that has been issued? It's the final judgment of the State Court. MR. CHOUDHRI: No, your Honor. We're talking about two different things. THE COURT: Okay. MR. CHOUDHRI: What I'm trying to tell your Honor, is in your Court, this court, Galleria and West Loop Investments was in bankruptcy. THE COURT: Right. MR. CHOUDHRI: And there was a fraudulent lien filed by this man, a fraudulent lien on the 50 Briar Hollow Property. And then Veritex (phonetic) foreclosed. My understanding is they foreclosed on the property. Osama Abdullatif owns the claim of Ali Mokaram. There's a — there's an agreement where all of Mr. Funk's fees are paid by Osama. They filed a proof of claim in the Western District claiming all my entities, including my mom and Texas

```
1
    REIT, and all these entities are all alter egos of me.
 2
    mom is an alter eqo. And Texas REIT is an alter eqo.
 3
              There's — there's been several bankruptcy filings
    because of this — this tactics that they've — they've —
 4
 5
    they — they've put on me and my family and made me — your
 6
    Honor, on May 31, I came, your Honor, I was in Court or on
 7
    the - on the phone.
              And on June the 2<sup>nd</sup>, I had a stroke. He's filed
 8
 9
    fraudulent liens on all my properties. First, he did it
10
    through the fake, false pro-divorce action.
              Finally, Greg Acosta (phonetic) was able to go to the
11
12
    Court of Appeals and affirm the judgment. And they're
13
    appealing it again to the Supreme Court. They filed - they
14
    sued 32 companies, including Serena, your — your next door
15
    neighbor.
16
              This is what they've done in the past. And they
17
    continue to do it. George Lee -
18
              THE COURT: Just —
              MR. CHOUDHRI: George Lee —
19
20
              THE COURT: I — I recognize Serena, who is named in
21
    the pleadings. Serena Rue — Yu was my next door neighbor
22
    roughly 15 years ago. And I haven't spoken to her since. I'm
2.3
    not -
24
              MR. CHOUDHRI: I'm — I'm not asking you either.
25
                          Well, I'm just telling you, there's —
```

```
1
    it's —
 2
              MR. CHOUDHRI: I — I just want you to —
 3
              THE COURT: I don't want to throw something out there
    where people hear it and it isn't accurate.
 4
 5
              MR. CHOUDHRI: I'm not —
              THE COURT: She — she owned 8 Bradfield Court.
 6
 7
    own 9 Bradfield Court. We would - shared a fence line. And I
 8
    haven't talked to her since she moved out, which is roughly 15
 9
    years. So —
10
              MR. CHOUDHRI: Your Honor, can I —
              THE COURT: Let's move to something that we care
11
12
    about.
13
         (Pause in the proceeding.)
14
              MR. CHOUDHRI: What — what I mentioned, your Honor,
15
    is Omar Khawaja, John Quinlan, and Osama Abdullatif, they
16
    acquired a judgment against Jetall. And they're contending
17
    Jetall is an alter ego of Texas REIT. And they filed a proof
18
    of claim in Judge Robinson's court in the Western District.
19
              I have the proof of claim. They're claiming all my
20
    entities are alter eqo, meaning all of the liabilities of
21
    those — that — that Debtor is a liability of me.
22
              I cannot even determine my net worth without that
23
    being adjudicated about. Because when they're claiming that I
24
    have the — the —
25
                          Why can't the State Court adjudicate the
```

```
1
    judgment?
 2
              MR. CHOUDHRI: They're —
 3
              THE COURT: Let's back up.
 4
              MR. CHOUDHRI: Your — your Honor, if I may just
 5
    finish.
 6
              THE COURT: I may misunderstand some basic facts.
 7
              Isn't there a State Court judgment against you that
 8
    is a final judgment that's finished?
 9
              MR. CHOUDHRI: That's on appeal, your Honor.
10
              THE COURT: It's on appeal.
11
              MR. CHOUDHRI: That's the issue.
12
              THE COURT: But it's a final judgment.
13
              MR. CHOUDHRI: Yes, sir. It is a final —
14
              THE COURT: And you have the right to supersede it
15
    under Texas law under certain circumstances.
16
              MR. CHOUDHRI: Yes, sir.
17
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
              MR. CHOUDHRI: Yeah.
18
19
              THE COURT: And so the Court, as I understood it, the
20
    Court that issued the judgment was going to determine whether
21
    you had property superseded. And that's the hearing that
22
    doesn't take place because this gets removed.
23
              Is that about right?
24
              MR. CHOUDHRI: Your Honor, George Lee filed a alter
    ego lawsuit against the Debtor in the Bankruptcy -
```

1 THE COURT: Yeah. You want to talk about that. 2 Let's start talking about what I want to talk about. 3 Am I right that the State Court entered a final 4 judgment? 5 MR. CHOUDHRI: The — 6 THE COURT: Am I right that you tried to supersede it 7 with a net worth affidavit? Am I right that the State Court 8 scheduled a hearing on whether that was valid or not. 9 MR. CHOUDHRI: That is all 100 percent accurate, your 10 Honor. 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 MR. CHOUDHRI: The — the Bankruptcy Court, there was 13 not a response filed to the remand when the proof of claim by 14 Osama Abdullatiff, and John Quinlan, and Omar Khawaja was 15 filed. 16 Omar Khawaja and Osama Abdullatif had made an 17 agreement with a former wife that whatever she — they collect, 18 or she collects, they split it. They pay all the legal fees. 19 It's sponsored litigation. They've now sued my mom. 20 They've — George Lee has filed a lawsuit in the Western 21 Bankruptcy District against Texas REIT and myself, claiming I'm 22 an alter eqo. 23 They filed a proof of claim in the Western Bankruptcy 24 District. And they attempted to withdraw it. Judge Robinson would not allow them to withdraw because he was not -- he felt

contingent liabilities.

1 it was suspicious. He wasn't sure if it was done in good 2 faith.

They filed *les pendenses* on those properties, which is why all these properties have been going — they filed fraudulent liens, not even *les pendenses*, your Honor.

In the 50 Briar Hollow case, Osama's claimed he filed an affidavit of lien in the real property records saying 50 Briar Hollow is an alter ego of me. And that entity — that property could not be sold. And that entity went into bankruptcy and the property was lost.

This is their focus. I cannot even determine the net worth without determining the allegations of alter ego.

Because in the Bankruptcy Court, I have to — my mom, all these other entities, I personally have to go and say these are

Because all of the liabilities of these entities that are in bankruptcy because of him. This man has sued my father, who's passed away. He sued my mom. He sued my sister, who's a physician. He's sued her partners.

There's a — there's a big history that if the facts come out, your Honor, you will — you'll understand. Just recently, there is a — there's a order of disqualification for Jackson Walker in Pillsbury, the co-counsel — and co-counsel, which is sitting right here.

HooverSlovacek also represented, and had a conflict

2.3

waiver that they're violating right now. Osama Abdullatif had a woman — a man working for me, a guy named Chris Wyatt (phonetic) who is — was a sponsor — was a — sponsored by him collecting my documents as my paralegal, but really working for him. And I have evidence of that.

THE COURT: So tell — I'm missing the point.

Why can't the State District Court that issued the judgment decide whether the judgment is superseded or not?

 ${\tt MR.}$ CHOUDHRI: It — it just cannot because there's a gateway issue about the alter ego accusation.

They availed themselves to the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court. I did not. I did not make the claims that all my entities are alter ego. They did.

So when they file the proof of claim in the Bankruptcy Court in the Western District, claiming that all my entities, that Texas REIT doesn't — that Texas REIT, LLC is in bankruptcy.

In addition to that, Osama Abdullatif has entered into a joint venture agreement with George Lee. George Lee's filed an adversary right here, your Honor, against me and Texas REIT claiming it's an alter. Cannot determine the net worth until it is determined what — what if the alter ego, if — if those alter ego claims.

They have to be first — that — that's the gateway.

I mean, that's the problem that they put me in this conundrum.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Their goal is to put me out of business. And I'm not running away from anything, your Honor. I want everything to be decided in one place. it's already in that place that they signed under oath. is a proof of claim, your Honor, that — that Osama Abdullatif has filed. And this is also a, if I could hand this to, your Honor. Yeah. I'll take it. THE COURT: MR. CHOUDHRI: This is also a notice of abstract judgment. And if you look at the signature page on there, it's signed under oath by Osama Abdullatif. THE COURT: Okay. If you want me to read, just let me read it. MR. CHOUDHRI: Yeah. **THE COURT:** What I've been handed is a notice of abstracted judgment with a recordation stamp of February $15^{\rm th}$ of 2020, RP 202452182, referencing *Case 2012-27197A*. It's a Notice of Abstracts of Judgment. And I'll read it now. (Court reading.) (Pause in the proceeding.) THE COURT: I've read the Abstract of Judgment. what do you want me to take from that? MR. CHOUDHRI: Your Honor, if you look where it says he contends that Galleria West Loop Investments is an alter ego

of Ali Choudri. And he's attached the legal description of the

```
1
    property, 3.1 acres, which is the 50 Briar Hollow property.
 2
              So he fraudulently filed a lien on that property,
 3
    preventing that property from being sold and refinanced. That
    entity ended up filing bankruptcy, Galleria West Loop
 4
 5
    Investments.
 6
              And — and then that — that property's not — not —
 7
    not there anymore. That is a recent occurrence.
                                                       There are
 8
    additional recent occurrences where Osama Abdullatif has
 9
    sued -
10
              THE COURT: What does this -
11
              MR. CHOUDHRI: — South.
12
              THE COURT: This is ten months ago, sorry nine months
13
    ago when we're talking about recent. This is nine-month-old
14
    abstract.
15
              MR. CHOUDHRI: In the last — yes, your Honor, if I
16
    may.
17
              THE COURT: Uh-huh.
18
              MR. CHOUDHRI: And this helps, your Honor.
19
              This is an adversary complaint.
20
         (Pause in the proceeding.)
21
              THE COURT: I've been handed an Adversary Complaint
22
    filed in Adversary Proceeding 24-01039 in the Western District
23
    of Texas. This was a filing made on July 10th of 2024. Let me
24
    read this.
25
         (Court reading.)
```

1 (Pause in the proceeding.) 2 MR. CHOUDHRI: And I just wanted to mention, your Honor, that Serena — 3 So now tell me, where is the pleading in 4 THE COURT: 5 State Court, and the other side can tell me this if they want, that was the subject of the hearing that didn't occur because 6 7 of the removal? What — who was that against? Who was the named 8 9 Defendant. 10 MR. CHOUDHRI: Ali Choudhri, myself, and Texas REIT. THE COURT: 11 And who's in bankruptcy in — 12 MR. CHOUDHRI: Texas REIT is in bankruptcy. 13 THE COURT: Okay. And are they trying to proceed 14 with a hearing against Texas REIT? 15 MR. CHOUDHRI: That is one of — that is —there — 16 in fairness, there's two cases, your Honor. There's a 2012 A 17 case and a 2012 D case. 18 It's from the 2012, which goes back to the Bealle 19 (phonetic) Bank dispute between Mr. Latif and I. The 2012 A 20 case has just me in it. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 MR. CHOUDHRI: Individually. And the 2012 D case has 23 me and, I believe, Dalio, and also Texas REIT is also a named 24 party in that case. 25 THE COURT: So you're saying Texas REIT is a

```
1
    Defendant in the D case or not a Debtor in the D case?
 2
              MR. CHOUDHRI: Yes, sir.
 3
              THE COURT: Okay. Can I see the D case, then?
 4
              MR. CHOUDHRI: Yes, sir.
 5
                         Where do I see documents from the D case?
 6
              MR. CHOUDHRI: It's going to be on the Harris County
 7
    District —
 8
                         Does anyone have a document here on the D
 9
    case? And can we — has the stay been lifted in the D case?
10
    I'm sorry, has the stay been lifted in the Texas REIT case to
11
    allow the State Court action to proceed in the D case?
12
              MR. CHOUDHRI: May — may I hand this document to
13
    your Honor?
14
              THE COURT: Yeah.
15
         (Pause in the proceeding.)
16
              MR. CHOUDHRI: This is a proof of claim in that case
17
    filed by Osama Abdullatif.
18
              This is a claim objection that — that — that I
19
    filed against them where they're claiming it's an alter ego.
20
    This is a — an order superseding for several million dollars
21
    in cash for that — for one of the judgments they're attempting
22
    to collect that's fully superseded. And —
23
                         But I'm trying to understand right now -
              THE COURT:
24
              MR. CHOUDHRI: Yes, your Honor.
25
                          — my — my questions are simpler, which
```

```
1
    is, if the Debtor is a Debtor. And the lawsuit is against the
 2
    Debtor. And there is a stay against proceeding, I don't know
    why we're here at all. That's why I need to get a more basic
 3
 4
    understanding.
 5
              MR. CHOUDHRI: I believe it was something about
 6
    they're a nominal party is what I heard.
 7
              THE COURT: Well, I mean —
              MR. CHOUDHRI: I heard somebody saying that. So I
 8
 9
    don't know what that means.
10
              THE COURT:
                         Okay. Let me see some pleadings from the
11
    D case to understand whether the Debtor is a Debtor. Counsel?
12
              MR. FUNK: May — May I, your Honor.
13
              THE COURT: Yes, sir. Please.
14
         (Pause in the proceeding.)
15
                         The final judgment in the D case.
16
                            That's docketed at Debtor Exhibit M.
              MS. ENGLAND:
17
              THE COURT: Okay. So the judgment in the D case.
18
    Let me read it.
19
         (Pause in the proceeding.)
20
              THE COURT: So it appears that, if you need this I'll
21
    hand it back to you, that the judgement does not include the
22
             The Debtor is not a judgment Debtor; is that right?
23
              MR. CHOUDHRI: My understanding is that Texas REIT is
24
    a party in that case.
25
              THE COURT:
                          Well, we're post judgment.
```

	25
1	MR. CHOUDHRI: Right.
2	THE COURT: Are they a judgment Debtor?
3	MR. CHOUDHRI: I don't know.
4	THE COURT: Pardon me?
5	MR. CHOUDHRI: I — I don't know. I —
6	THE COURT: Well, that's the judgment.
7	(Pause in the proceeding.)
8	THE COURT: Why don't you go ahead and step back from
9	the podium if you would.
10	MR. CHOUDHRI: May I — may I hand some —
11	THE COURT: Why — yeah, why don't you go ahead and
12	take that with you and step back from the podium.
13	If they're not a judgment Debtor, then they're $-\!-\!$ I
14	don't know what the argument is.
15	(Pause in the proceeding.)
16	MR. CHOUDHRI: Well, your Honor, my understanding is
17	that they are Khawaja Omar, Osama Abdullatif, John Quinlan, and
18	Omar Khawaja are claiming that I'm an alter ego. And
19	technically, the Debtor is an alter ego of me.
20	And that has to be determined in the adversary that's
21	filed in the Western District by George Lee. And they filed a
22	proof of claim in that case, the proof of claim that's signed
23	by Osama Abdullatif.
24	THE COURT: That's not the way I read the last two.
25	Let me read it again. I read that it's saying that you are

```
1
    liable for their debts, not that they are liable for your
 2
    debts.
 3
              Let me read it again.
 4
         (Pause in the proceeding.)
 5
              MR. CHOUDHRI: I have a deposition —
 6
              THE COURT: Here's the adversary —
 7
              MR. CHOUDHRI: — your Honor, if —
 8
              THE COURT:
                         Here's the adversary complaint. I want
 9
    to see what the document says. This is what you handed me.
10
         (Pause in the proceeding.)
              MR. CHOUDHRI: Here is a proof of claim by Osama
11
12
    Abdullatif as well, what I handed you.
13
         (Court reading.)
14
         (Pause in the proceeding.)
15
              THE COURT: What is the current status of the
16
    adversary proceeding?
17
              MR. CHOUDHRI: It's pending, your Honor, in the
18
    Western Southern Bankruptcy District. There's also a
19
    hearing —
20
              THE COURT: You said in the Western Southern
21
    Bankruptcy District.
22
              MR. CHOUDHRI: Sorry. I'm so sorry.
23
              THE COURT:
                          That's okay.
24
              MR. CHOUDHRI: I'm really nervous. Sorry.
25
              It's in the Western Bankruptcy Court, Judge Jack
```

27 1 Robinson in the — 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. CHOUDHRI: — Western District. It is pending in 4 that case, your Honor. And the — their proof of claim, and 5 there's a hearing in December on the — the proof of claim 6 where they're alleging — where Osama Abdullatif is alleging 7 Texas REIT's liabilities are my liabilities. And my 8 liabilities are Texas REIT's liabilities, that we're basically 9 all one. And that meant my liabilities could be a lot - a lot 10 more. 11 THE COURT: So your liabilities have been liquidated 12 at this point, right? As to the State Court case. We know 13 what the judgment is. 14 (Pause in the proceeding.) THE COURT: What is the status of the State Court -15 16 of the bankruptcy adversary? 17 MR. BALLASES: So, I — I can answer that, Judge, if 18 you'd like me to come there. 19 THE COURT: Please. 20 MR. BALLASES: So, we originally filed, you know, 21 like we originally filed the - Mr. Choudhri actually filed on 22 a judgment for a multi-million dollars was taken against HREP, 2.3 Houston Real Estate Properties, in State Court.

He filed a bankruptcy proceeding, which was in front of Judge Norman. As that case progressed, myself, and John

24

28
Quinlan, and Omar Khawaja, and Osama Abdullatif filed an
adversary proceeding in that case, who alleged this alter ego
and fraudulent transfer case.
One of the Defendants that we allege is an alter ego
of HREP is — is Texas REIT. Texas REIT was not in bankruptcy
when we filed that claim.
THE COURT: Yeah. Why don't you answer my question.
MR. BALLASES: Sure.
THE COURT: What is the status of the adversary
proceeding filed — Adversary Proceeding 24-1039 in the Western
District of Texas. What's its status?
MR. BALLASES: So we filed a proof of claim. We
learned that there are insufficient assets to pay our — pay
our debt. And so we had seeked to remove. And Judge Robinson
is having a hearing on it.
THE COURT: Now —
MR. CHOUDHRI: Your Honor —
MR. BALLASES: I can't speak beyond that.
THE COURT: What is the status of the adversary
proceeding? It is open? Closed?
MR. BALLASES: It's open.
THE COURT: Judgment? No Judgment.
MR. CHOUDHRI: It's open.
MR. BALLASES: Yeah. It is open.
THE COURT: Okay. So, has the stay been lifted to

```
1
    allow you to proceed in State Court?
 2
              MR. BALLASES: We are not proceeding in State Court
 3
    with Texas REIT.
 4
              THE COURT: Has the stay been lifted to allow you to
 5
    proceed in State Court?
 6
              MR. BALLASES:
                             Yes. Judge Robinson lifted the say
 7
    for us to proceed against Texas REIT in our adversary
 8
    proceeding in front of Judge Norman.
 9
              THE COURT:
                          Has the stay been lifted to allow you to
10
    proceed in the State Court proceeding that is the subject of
    the removal and the remand?
11
12
              MR. BALLASES: Texas REIT is not a judgment
13
    (indiscernible.)
14
              THE COURT: Answer my question. You're — this is
    just as frustrating talking to you as — as other people.
15
16
              Has the stay been lifted by the Bankruptcy Court to
17
    allow the removed State Court action to proceed in State Court?
18
    Yes or no?
19
         (Pause in the proceeding.)
20
              MR. BALLASES: I am unaware of that.
21
              THE COURT: Okay.
22
         (Pause in the proceeding.)
23
              THE COURT: I'm granting the oral motion for a
24
    continuance, because I want a more organized hearing.
25
              Here are the way that I believe the facts come out.
```

1 But I'm not going to reach a final decision right now. 2 The adversary proceeding that I've been handed up, and again, this is all preliminary, 24-10 - 120 is the case 3 number. The adversary proceeding is 24-1039, seeks to hold in 4 5 the Bankruptcy Court Texas REIT, which is the Debtor in the 6 Bankruptcy Court, liable for Mr. Choudhri's personal debts. 7 If Mr. Choudhri is, in fact, liable, as is being 8 alleged in this adversary proceeding, for Texas, excuse me, 9 liable — if Texas REIT is liable for Mr. Choudhri's debts, 10 then a liquidation, or a collection, I should say, of — from 11 Mr. Choudhri of the debts, will diminish his available funds to 12 pay other creditors. 13 And you don't get to step to the front of the line. 14 The automatic stay applies. If the automatic stay applies, you 15 can't proceed in State Court. And maybe there's some other 16 result we're going to come to after we hear a full evidentiary 17 record and a full presentation. 18 But doing this on an emergency basis when it appears 19 that you may be violating the automatic is something I'm not 20 prepared to do today. MR. FUNK: Can I address? 21 22 THE COURT: Mr. Funk, go ahead. 23 Your Honor, the same arguments were made MR. FUNK: 24 when the case was removed initially. The motion to remand was

Judge Robinson considered the motion, granted the

25

- motion, sent it back down. Judge —
- THE COURT: He can send it back down. That doesn't lift the stay.
- 4 MR. FUNK: That's right. Exactly.
- THE COURT: If the stay is in place, there isn't an
 emergency at all, because there's a stay. And I can remand it,
 but you can't proceed.
 - And the question that I said it to everybody I was going to do in my order. The first thing I'm going to do is figure out do we have an emergency? And if there's a stay, we don't have a emergency, because nothing can happen.
- 12 MR. FUNK: Please.
- 13 **THE COURT:** Go ahead.
- MR. FUNK: Indulge me, your Honor.
- I I let me get to the part. After that, a motion to reconsider the decision was filed.
- 17 **THE COURT:** Right.
- MR. FUNK: All of these arguments including the alter ego and the application of the stay, were made by Mr. Choudhri to Judge Robinson.
- He ruled on those arguments, including the alter ego arguments. And again denied the motion to reconsider. I've got the the order is under Cause Number 24-1047. It's
- 24 Docket 36.

1

8

9

10

11

25 And pages three and four —

```
1
              THE COURT:
                         Has it been — has it been filed as an
 2
    exhibit for this hearing?
 3
              MR. FUNK: It — it was just referenced, your Honor,
    because we asked you to take judicial notice of it. I don't
 4
 5
    think it was filed, was it?
 6
              MS. ENGLAND: No, it was not — it's not appended.
 7
    It was —
              THE COURT: Can I see it?
 8
 9
              MS. ENGLAND: — tied in footnote seven.
10
              THE COURT: Can I see it?
11
              MS. ENGLAND: I don't have a printed copy. I've got
12
    it on my laptop.
13
              THE COURT: I'll look at it on your laptop.
14
              MR. CHOUDHRI: Your Honor, may I respond? There
15
    again, I don't want to -
16
              THE COURT:
                          Sure.
17
              MR. CHOUDHRI: — conflate the issue, right?
18
              In June — in June, Osama Abdullatif filed a proof of
19
    claim in the Western District. There was a claim objection
20
    made.
21
              Mr. Ballasses and Osama Abdul attempted to withdraw
22
    that. Judge Robinson said no. At that point in time, the
23
    State Court case before this George Lee and the new case was
24
    filed, the — the case was removed from the State Court.
25
              Osama Abdullatif, and George Lee, and Serena Yu are
```

```
1
    working together. I have the evidence of that. That adversary
 2
    was filed. The judge did not — there was no response to
    the — to the motion to remand.
 3
 4
              There was no response because had it —
 5
              THE COURT: Yeah.
 6
              MR. CHOUDHRI: — there was no lawyer who was
 7
    licensed in the Western District, didn't file a response to the
 8
    remand.
 9
              THE COURT:
                         So —
10
              MR. CHOUDHRI: That was limited to —
11
              THE COURT:
                         So —
12
              MR. CHOUDHRI: — the proof of claim.
13
              THE COURT: Is there a reason why you don't want —
14
              MR. CHOUDHRI: Not -
15
              THE COURT: — me to see the transcript or the
16
    findings? That's what I'd like to do.
17
              MR. CHOUDHRI: No, sir. No. I — I — I just wanted
18
    to mention.
19
              THE COURT: See what the judge said.
20
              So go ahead and plug it into the —
21
              MS. ENGLAND: May I approach?
22
              THE COURT: There's a — no. I'm want you — I'm not
23
    going to touch your computer. Plug it in or —
24
              MS. ENGLAND: I'm sorry. It's not plugged in.
25
                          — dial in to GoToMeeting, one or the
```

```
1
    other.
 2
          (Pause in the proceeding.)
              MR. BALLASES: The specifics —
 3
              THE COURT: Let's wait. I want to see what you told
 4
 5
    me I was going to see.
 6
              MR. BALLASES: But not, I — I can —
 7
              THE COURT: Have a seat everyone. Everyone have a
 8
    seat.
 9
          (Pause in the proceeding.)
10
              THE COURT: Everyone have a seat.
         (Pause in the proceeding.)
11
              MS. ENGLAND: I'm plugged into the USB. Is there
12
13
    another cable?
14
              THE COURT: It's not a USB. It is a, I forgot the
15
    name of those cable.
16
              MR. BALLASES: HDMI.
17
              THE COURT: HDMI cable. Yeah.
         (Voices speaking off the record.)
18
19
              THE COURT: It should be in the front. Look in the
20
    front door for an HDMI cable.
21
              MR. FUNK: While she's doing that, (indiscernible) a
22
    copy of the order?
23
              THE COURT: No. I'm not going to do anything else.
24
              MR. FUNK:
                         Okay.
25
                          I want to do these in a way that I can
```

```
1
    understand them.
 2
         (Pause in the proceeding.)
              THE COURT: Okay. So what is your deal with the
 3
    automatic stay in the November 8th, 2024 order?
 4
 5
         (Pause in the proceeding.)
              MS. ENGLAND: Your Honor, it — this order does not
 6
 7
    specifically identify either lift stay or automatic stay. It
 8
    addresses the alter ego argument the Mr. Choudhri is raising.
 9
          (Pause in the proceeding.)
10
              THE COURT: Okay. Let me see that. I'll look at
11
    that. But I want to know about the automatic stay.
12
              MS. ENGLAND: I'm scrolling to page three.
13
          (Pause in the proceeding.)
14
              MS. ENGLAND: And beginning in the paragraph —
15
              THE COURT: Okay.
16
              MS. ENGLAND: — "The motion to vacate."
17
          (Court reading.)
18
          (Pause in the proceeding.)
19
              THE COURT: Okay. Keep scrolling.
20
          (Court reading.)
21
          (Pause in the proceeding.)
22
              THE COURT: Okay.
23
         (Court reading.)
24
          (Pause in the proceeding.)
25
              THE COURT:
                           Keep going.
```

1 (Court reading.) 2 (Pause in the proceeding.) 3 THE COURT: Keep going. 4 (Court reading.) 5 (Pause in the proceeding.) 6 THE COURT: I agree with the fact that they were a 7 named party. Doesn't matter if we're post-judgment and they're 8 not name in the judgment. 9 My concern is, there is a live alter ego claim 10 brought in the Bankruptcy Court that alleges that Texas REIT 11 isn't liable for Mr. Choudhri's debts. 12 It's my belief that if we remand this case, and maybe 13 we should, that the automatic stay would stop you from 14 proceeding in the case as a result of that. 15 (Pause in the proceeding.) 16 I may be wrong about that. I'm willing THE COURT: 17 to have that dealt with and briefed. But I'm not willing to 18 rule on an emergency basis when it appears to me that remanding 19 the case would result in the State Court having jurisdiction 20 over the case, subject to an automatic stay. 21 I do not see at this point anyone alleging that the 22 Bankruptcy Court has, in fact, found the automatic stay doesn't 23 apply. I, therefore, don't think there's an emergency, which 24 is what I said I was going to take up first. 25 So — and if people want to respond to that, go

ahead. But I'm inclined to grant a short continuance so that we can understand better what is going on.

MR. BALLASES: So, Judge, just so I'm clear, cause I'm not on one issue. Are — are you referring to the adversary proceeding in the Southern District? Or the adversary proceeding in the Western District?

THE COURT: Adversary proceeding in the Texas REIT case filed in the Western District Texas specifically asks, this is Mr. Lee, who was the Plaintiff, asks the Western District Bankruptcy Court quote,

"To hold that Debtor, that is Texas REIT, is the — is the ego of Choudhri for the purposes of enforcement of a judgment against Choudri and in support, thereof, would respectfully show as follows."

So if Texas REIT is liable for Mr. Choudhri's debts, then the distribution of his assets to one group of creditors to the disadvantage to other groups of creditors, would be a violation of the automatic stay in the Texas REIT case.

Because it's liable for his debts.

(Pause in the proceeding.)

THE COURT: So the stay probably applies. I'm willing to have it briefed that it doesn't. I'm not willing to decide on what amounts to two days' or three days' notice a really complicated alter ego question that controls whether

1 this is an emergency. 2 That's my worry. So, I am going to hear this 3 quickly. If your lawyer is in — and I have no reason realistically to think he's in the hospital. He certainly 4 5 didn't tell me that was a possibility in her emergency motion. It's certainly a possibility when anybody gets 6 7 hospitalized. If your lawyer is incapacitated, I think he's 8 fairly new on this case. 9 How long's it going to take you to get alternate 10 representation? 11 (Pause in the proceeding.) 12 MR. CHOUDHRI: Your Honor, he's been working on this 13 for a few weeks. I don't know I can give you an answer 14 relatively quickly once I reach out and find out. 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 MR. CHOUDHRI: There's another entity, your Honor, 17 that's also in bankruptcy that they've claimed as an alter ego. 18 But I'll just stop talking to you. 19 THE COURT: You really want to make more argument 20 right now? MR. CHOUDHRI: No, sir. I'll stop right not. 21 22 THE COURT: I think that's a prudent move. 23 December 2nd, 9 in the morning, we're going to have a 24 hearing on the motion to remand. 25 MR. CHOUDHRI: Your Honor, on December the 2^{nd}

39 1 there's a hearing on the claim objection for the proof of claim 2 that — that they filed in Judge Robinson's court. I believe it's December 2nd, schedule. 3 MR. BALLASES: I don't believe that's correct. 4 5 THE COURT: Let's check. MR. CHOUDHRI: Is it — is it December the 2^{nd} ? Am I 6 7 wrong? 8 Yes, your Honor. The Court has their setting for 9 December the 2^{nd} . 10 THE COURT: December 2? 11 MR. CHOUDHRI: December the 2nd. Yes, your Honor. 12 Case Number 24-10120, which is — there is a — there is a 13 setting in that. 14 MR. BALLASES: So, no. There is a hearing at either 15 1:30 or 2:00 for Texas REIT to amended motion to sell property 16 free and clear of liens. There's nothing to do with us. But 17 that's at 1:30 or 2:00. MR. CHOUDHRI: But you're objecting to that sale from 18 19 going forward. 20 MR. BALLASES: I - I -21 MR. CHOUDHRI: You filed an objection to that sale. 22 MR. BALLASES: I understand. We just — we're fine 23 with this hearing taking place in the morning. 24 THE COURT: Yeah. Is that a video hearing or is that

a personal hearing?

	01 54 4 0
1	MR. BALLASES: That is a Zoom hearing.
2	THE COURT: It's a Zoom hearing. Great.
3	MR. BALLASES: Yes, sir.
4	THE COURT: We'll start a nine o'clock. Nine o'clock
5	December second, we'll have your motion to remand.
6	MR. BALLASES: That's fine. And just to add one more
7	ting so it's clear on the record. So the George Lee lawsuit
8	has nothing to do with myself, my clients, or my co-counsel's
9	client.
10	THE COURT: I don't know if that matters.
11	MR. BALLASES: Yeah.
12	THE COURT: If — we — we need to worry about
13	whether there's an alter ego, non-frivolous allegation that
14	might mean that the stay is involved.
15	MR. BALLASES: Right. And — and, of course, the
16	judge — the two judgments we're here about having nothing to
17	do with Texas REIT.
18	THE COURT: Well, we don't know that.
19	Because if Texas REIT is liable for his debts, then
20	they may have a hell of a lot to do with Texas REIT. So you
21	all can brief it. Briefing is due not later that November
22	27^{th} , which is two weeks from today on whether or not the
23	automatic stay applies in State Court.
24	And any further briefing on the remand that you all

wish to file is due on the $27^{\rm th}$. I'm going to decide the